## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

 Х

In re : Chapter 9

CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN, : Case No. 13-53846

Debtor. : Hon. Steven W. Rhodes

:

. -----X

## OBJECTION OF THE CITY OF DETROIT, PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 105 AND 502(b) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE, BANKRUPTCY RULE 3007 AND LOCAL RULE 3007-1, TO PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER 2093 FILED BY BRITTANY MCFARLIN

The City of Detroit (the "City") hereby: (a) objects, pursuant to sections 105 and 502(b) of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code"), Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules") and Rule 3007-1 of the Local Rules of the Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Michigan (the "Local Rules") to proof of claim number 2093 (the "Claim") filed by Brittany McFarlin (the "Claimant") because the City has no liability to the Claimant on account of the Claim; and (b) seeks the entry of an order, substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit 1 (the "Proposed Order"), disallowing and expunging the Claim. A copy of the Claim is attached

hereto as <u>Exhibit 2</u>. In support of this Objection, the City respectfully represents as follows:

#### General Background

- 1. On July 18, 2013, the City filed a petition for relief in this Court, thereby commencing the largest chapter 9 case in history.
- 2. As of June 30, 2013 the end of the City's 2013 fiscal year the City's liabilities exceeded \$18 billion (including, among other things, general obligation and special revenue bonds, unfunded actuarially accrued pension and other postemployment benefit liabilities, pension obligation certificate liabilities and related derivative liabilities). As of June 30, 2013, the City's accumulated unrestricted general fund deficit was approximately \$237 million.
- 3. In February 2013, a state review team determined that a local government financial emergency exists in the City. Thereafter, in March 2013, Kevyn D. Orr was appointed, and now serves as, emergency manager with respect to the City (in such capacity, the "Emergency Manager") under Public Act 436 of 2012, the Local Financial Stability and Choice Act, MCL § 141.1541, et seq. ("PA 436"). Under Section 18(1) of PA 436, the Emergency Manager acts exclusively on behalf of the City in this chapter 9 case. MCL § 141.1558.
- 4. On May 5, 2014, the City filed the Fourth Amended Plan for the Adjustment of Debts of the City of Detroit (Docket No. 4392) (as it may be

ATI-2604529v1 -2-

further amended, modified or supplemented from time to time, the "Plan") and the Fourth Amended Disclosure Statement with Respect to Fourth Amended Plan for the Adjustment of Debts of the City of Detroit (Docket No. 4391) (the "Disclosure Statement"). That same day, the Court entered the Order Approving the Proposed Disclosure Statement (Docket No. 4401), thereby approving the Disclosure Statement as containing "adequate information" with respect to the Plan, pursuant to section 1125(a)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code.

#### **Background Regarding the Claims Process**

- 5. On November 21, 2013, the Court entered the Order, Pursuant to Sections 105, 501 and 503 of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rules 2002 and 3003(c), Establishing Bar Dates for Filing Proofs of Claim and Approving Form and Manner of Notice Thereof (Docket No. 1782) (the "Bar Date Order"). The Bar Date Order established February 21, 2014 at 4:00 p.m., Eastern Time, as the general deadline for the filing of proofs of claim in the City's chapter 9 case.
- 6. On December 24, 2013, the Court entered the Order, Pursuant to Sections 105 and 502 of the Bankruptcy Code, Approving Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedures to Promote the Liquidation of Certain Prepetition Claims (Docket No. 2302) (the "ADR Order"). The ADR Order established procedures (the "ADR Procedures") for the liquidation of certain prepetition claims (collectively, the "Designated Claims"). In addition, the ADR Order provisionally

ATI-2604529v1 -3-

identified certain claims (collectively, the "Initial Designated Claims") as

Designated Claims and, therefore, subject to the ADR Procedures. The Initial

Designated Claims consist of: (a) personal injury tort or wrongful death claims,

(b) property damage claims; and (c) claims, to the extent not satisfied in the

ordinary course, relating to the operation of motor vehicles for which the City is

self-insured pursuant to chapter 31 of Michigan's Insurance Code of 1956, M.C.L.

§§ 500.3101, et seq.

#### **Relief Requested**

7. Pursuant to sections 105 and 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code,
Bankruptcy Rule 3007 and Local Rule 3007-1, the City seeks the entry of an order
disallowing and expunging the Claim because the Claim asserts alleged liabilities
for which the City is not responsible as a matter of law.

## The Court's Jurisdiction to Disallow and Expunge the Claim

- 8. This Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter pursuant to section 1334 of title 28 of the United States Code (the "Judicial Code"). Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to sections 1408 and 1409 of the Judicial Code.
- 9. Section 157(b) of the Judicial Code sets forth various "core proceedings" with respect to which bankruptcy courts are authorized to enter final orders, subject only to appellate review under section 158 of the Judicial Code.

  See 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(1) ("Bankruptcy judges may hear and determine all cases

ATI-2604529v1 -4-

under title 11 and all core proceedings arising under title 11, or arising in a case under title 11, referred under subsection (a) of this section, and may enter appropriate orders and judgments, subject to review under section 158 of this title.").

10. Section 157(b)(2) of the Judicial Code provides a nonexclusive list of core proceedings, including proceedings for the "allowance or disallowance of claims," but excepts from such proceedings "the liquidation or estimation of contingent or unliquidated personal injury tort or wrongful death claims against the estate for purposes of distribution in a case under title 11" (any such claim,

include proceedings for the:

With respect to the allowance and disallowance of claims, section 157(b)(2)(B) of the Judicial Code provides that core proceedings

allowance or disallowance of claims against the estate or exemptions from property of the estate, and estimation of claims or interests for the purposes of confirming a plan under chapter 11, 12, or 13 of title 11 but not the liquidation or estimation of contingent or unliquidated personal injury tort or wrongful death claims against the estate for purposes of distribution in a case under title 11.....

<sup>28</sup> U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(B). As a technical matter, therefore, section 157(b) of the Judicial Code does not provide that proceedings relating to the allowance or disallowance of claims against a chapter 9 debtor constitute core proceedings because there is no estate in chapter 9. See 11 U.S.C. § 901 (not incorporating into chapter 9 practice section 541 of the Bankruptcy Code, which provides for the creation of the estate). Nevertheless, the allowance and disallowance of claims is equally central to the bankruptcy process in chapter 9, and the enumerated list of core proceedings in section 157 of the Judicial Code is expressly nonexclusive.

a "Tort/Wrongful Death Claim"). 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(B); see also 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(O) (providing that "other proceedings affecting the liquidation of the assets of the estate or the adjustment of the debtor-creditor or the equity security holder relationship" constitute core proceedings "except personal injury tort or wrongful death claims").

- 11. The Judicial Code further provides that Tort/Wrongful Death Claims "be tried in the district court in which the bankruptcy case is pending, or in the district court in the district in which the claim arose, as determined by the district court in which the bankruptcy case is pending." 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(5).
- Tort/Wrongful Death claims for the purpose of liquidating or estimating the claims be conducted in the appropriate district court. Courts consistently hold, however, that threshold challenges to the validity of Tort/Wrongful Death Claims may be adjudicated on a final basis by bankruptcy courts. See, e.g., In re Chateaugay Corp., 111 B.R. 67, 73-74 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1990) (where debtors filed objections to numerous Personal Injury Claims on grounds that such claims were (a) asserted against the wrong defendants and (b) barred by the "government contractor defense," holding that the bankruptcy court had jurisdiction to resolve the objections because "[a]lthough [section] 157(b)(2)(B) restricts a bankruptcy court's power to liquidate or estimate personal injury tort or wrongful death claims for

ATI-2604529v1 -6-

purposes of distribution, it imposes no corollary restriction upon a bankruptcy court's ability to disallow such claims in the first instance if they are not sustainable at law") (emphasis added), aff'd in relevant part and rev'd on other grounds, 146 B.R. 339 (S.D.N.Y. 1992); In re Dow Corning Corp., 215 B.R. 346, 352 (Bankr. E.D. Mich. 1997) (where the debtor (a) objected to certain Personal Injury Claims on grounds that the claimants could not scientifically prove that the claimants' injuries were caused by the debtor's products and (b) moved for summary judgment seeking disallowance of all similar claims, applying Chateaugay in holding that "a bankruptcy court may enter a final order on a motion for summary judgment disallowing a personal injury claim without running afoul of the 'but not the liquidation' clause of [section] 157(b)(2)(B)"), modified, 215 B.R. 526 (Bankr. E.D. Mich. 1997) (recommending withdrawal of reference for purposes of judicial economy); In re UAL Corp., 310 B.R. 373, 383 (Bankr. N.D. Ill. 2004) (relying upon <u>Dow Corning</u>, among other cases, in holding that the bankruptcy court had jurisdiction to sustain debtors' objection seeking disallowance of a personal injury claim; "[A]n objection to the legal validity of a personal injury tort claim does not fall within the personal injury exception to the core bankruptcy jurisdiction conferred by [section] 157(b)(2)(B), and this court may enter a final order dealing with the debtors' pending objection to the [claimants'] claim.").

ATI-2604529v1 -7-

13. The City believes that the Claim may be a Tort/Wrongful Death Claim within the meaning of section 157 of the Judicial Code. The City has reviewed the Claim, however, and has determined that threshold infirmities render the Claim invalid against the City as a matter of law. Specifically, as more fully discussed below, the Claim asserts alleged liabilities against one or more entities for which the City is not legally or financially responsible. Accordingly, this proceeding is a core proceeding, and the Court is authorized to enter a final order disallowing and expunging the Claim.

#### **Request to Disallow the Claim**

14. Pursuant to section 101 of the Bankruptcy Code, a creditor holds a claim against a debtor only to the extent that it has a "right to payment" for the asserted liability. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 101(5), 101(10).<sup>2</sup> By contrast, there is no right to payment — and therefore no claim — to the extent that the asserted liability is not due and owing by a debtor. Section 502(b)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code further provides that a claim asserted in a proof of claim shall be allowed,

ATI-2604529v1 -8-

Section 101(10) of the Bankruptcy Code defines a "creditor" in pertinent part as "an entity that has a claim against the debtor." 11 U.S.C. § 101(10). Section 101(5) in turn defines a "claim" as a "right to payment" or "the right to an equitable remedy for breach of performance if such breach gives rise to a right for payment." 11 U.S.C. § 101(5).

except to the extent "such claim is unenforceable against the debtor and property of the debtor, under any agreement or applicable law." 11 U.S.C. §502(b)(1).<sup>3</sup>

circumstances surrounding the Claim and has determined that the Claim is not a valid liability of the City. The Claim asserts liabilities in the estimated amount of \$100,000 for injuries allegedly sustained by the Claimant when a door allegedly was slammed against the Claimant's head at Capstone Academy (the "Academy").

See Claim, at 1-2. The Academy is a public school academy within the meaning of Public Act 451 of 1976, the Revised School Code Act, MCL §§ 380.1, et seq., and thereby is a body corporate, separate and distinct from the City and subject to the leadership and supervision of the State of Michigan.

A public school academy is a public school under section 2 of article VIII of the state constitution of 1963, is a school district for the purposes of section 11 of article IX of the state constitution of 1963 and for the purposes of section 1225 and section 1351a, and is subject to the leadership and general supervision of the state board over all public education under section 3 of article VIII of the state constitution of 1963. A public school academy is a body corporate and is a governmental agency. The powers granted to a public academy under this part constitute school the of performance essential public purposes and governmental functions of this state.

Section 502 of the Bankruptcy Code is made applicable in the City's chapter 9 case by section 901 of the Bankruptcy Code. See 11 U.S.C. § 901.

MCL § 380.501(1).

16. As such, the City is not responsible for any of the alleged liabilities asserted against the Academy in the Claim. In addition, nothing in the Claim suggests any involvement in the matter by or on behalf of the City, and the City is not otherwise aware of any basis for which it may be held responsible for the alleged liabilities asserted therein. The City therefore has determined that the Claimant possesses no right to payment on account of the Claim, and the Claim should be disallowed.

## **Reservation of Rights**

17. The City files this Objection without prejudice to or waiver of its rights pursuant to section 904 of the Bankruptcy Code, and nothing herein is intended to, shall constitute or shall be deemed to constitute the City's consent, pursuant to section 904 of the Bankruptcy Code, to this Court's interference with (a) any of the political or governmental powers of the City, (b) any of the property or revenues of the City or (c) the City's use or enjoyment of any income-producing property.

#### **Notice**

18. Notice of this Objection has been given to the Claimant and all parties that have requested notice in this case pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2002.

The City submits that no other or further notice need be provided.

ATI-2604529v1 -10-

## **No Prior Request**

19. No previous request for the relief requested herein has been made to this or any other court.

WHEREFORE, the City respectfully requests that the Court: (a) enter the Proposed Order granting the relief requested herein and (b) grant such other and further relief to the City as the Court may deem proper.

Respectfully submitted,

#### /s/ Heather Lennox

David G. Heiman (OH 0038271)
Heather Lennox (OH 0059649)
Thomas A. Wilson (OH 0077047)
JONES DAY
North Point
901 Lakeside Avenue
Cleveland, Ohio 44114
Telephone: (216) 586-3939
Facsimile: (216) 579-0212
dgheiman@jonesday.com
hlennox@jonesday.com
tawilson@jonesday.com

Bruce Bennett (CA 105430)
JONES DAY
555 South Flower Street
Fiftieth Floor
Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: (213) 243-2382
Facsimile: (213) 243-2539
bbennett@jonesday.com

John A. Simon (P61866)
Tamar N. Dolcourt (P73425)
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
500 Woodward Avenue, Suite 2700
Detroit, Michigan 48226
Telephone: (313) 234-7100
Facsimile: (313) 234-2800
jsimon@foley.com
tdolcourt@foley.com

#### ATTORNEYS FOR THE CITY

Dated: May 15, 2014

# UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT Eastern District of Michigan

In re:

Chapter: 9

CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN,

Case No.: 13-53846

Debtor. Judge: Hon. Steven W. Rhodes

Address: 2 Woodward Avenue, Suite 1126

Detroit, Michigan 48226

Last four digits of Social Security or

Employer's Tax Identification (EIN) No(s).(if any): 38-6004606

#### NOTICE OF OBJECTION OF THE CITY OF DETROIT, PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 105 AND 502(b) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE, BANKRUPTCY RULE 3007 AND LOCAL RULE 3007-1, TO PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER 2093 FILED BY BRITTANY MCFARLIN

The City of Detroit (the "<u>City</u>") has filed an objection to your claim in this bankruptcy case.

Your claim may be reduced, modified, or denied. You should read these papers carefully and discuss them with your attorney, if you have one.

If you do not want the Court to deny or change your claim, then on or before June 18, 2014, you or your lawyer must:

1. File with the Court a written response to the objection, explaining your position, at:

#### **United States Bankruptcy Court**

United States Bankruptcy Court 211 W. Fort Street, Suite 2100 Detroit, Michigan 48226

If you mail your response to the Court for filing, you must mail it early enough so that the Court will **receive** it on or before the date stated above. All attorneys are required to file pleadings electronically.

You must also mail a copy to:

David G. Heiman, Esq.
Heather Lennox, Esq.
Thomas A. Wilson, Esq.
JONES DAY
North Point
901 Lakeside Avenue
Cleveland, Ohio 44114
Telephone: (216) 586-3939
Facsimile: (216) 579-0212

Bruce Bennett, Esq.
JONES DAY
555 South Flower Street
Fiftieth Floor
Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: (213) 243-2382
Facsimile: (213) 243-2539

John A. Simon, Esq.
Tamar N. Dolcourt, Esq.
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
500 Woodward Avenue, Suite 2700
Detroit, Michigan 48226
Telephone: (313) 234-7100
Facsimile: (313) 234-2800

2. Attend the hearing on the objection, scheduled to be held on June 25, 2014, at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom 100, Theodore Levin U.S. Courthouse, 231 W. Lafayette, Detroit, Michigan 48226, unless your attendance is excused by mutual agreement between yourself and counsel for the City. (Unless the matter is disposed of summarily as a matter of law, the hearing shall be a pre-trial conference only; neither testimony nor other evidence will be received. A pre trial scheduling order may be issued as a result of the pre-trial conference.)

If you or your attorney do not take these steps, the Court may deem that you do not oppose the objection to your claim, in which event the hearing will be canceled, and the objection sustained.

ATI-2604529v1 -2-

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: May 15, 2014

#### /s/ Heather Lennox

David G. Heiman (OH 0038271)
Heather Lennox (OH 0059649)
Thomas A. Wilson (OH 0077047)
JONES DAY
North Point
901 Lakeside Avenue
Cleveland, Ohio 44114
Telephone: (216) 586-3939
Facsimile: (216) 579-0212
dgheiman@jonesday.com
hlennox@jonesday.com
tawilson@jonesday.com

Bruce Bennett (CA 105430)
JONES DAY
555 South Flower Street
Fiftieth Floor
Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: (213) 243-2382
Facsimile: (213) 243-2539
bbennett@jonesday.com

John A. Simon (P61866)
Tamar N. Dolcourt (P73425)
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
500 Woodward Avenue, Suite 2700
Detroit, Michigan 48226
Telephone: (313) 234-7100
Facsimile: (313) 234-2800
jsimon@foley.com
tdolcourt@foley.com

ATTORNEYS FOR THE CITY

ATI-2604529v1 -3-

## **EXHIBIT 1**

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

 -X	

In re : Chapter 9

CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN, : Case No. 13-53846

Debtor. : Hon. Steven W. Rhodes

:

-----X

# ORDER DISALLOWING AND EXPUNGING CLAIM NUMBER 2093 FILED BY BRITTANY MCFARLIN

This matter coming before the Court on the Objection of the City of Detroit, Pursuant to Sections 105 and 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, Bankruptcy Rule 3007 and Local Rule 3007-1, to Proof of Claim Number 2093 Filed by Brittany McFarlin (the "Objection"), filed by the City of Detroit (the "City"); the Court having reviewed the Objection and having heard the statements of counsel regarding the relief requested in the Objection at a hearing before the Court (the "Hearing"); the Court finding that (a) the Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334, (b) this is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2) and (c) notice of the Objection and the Hearing was sufficient under the circumstances and in full compliance with the

ATI-2604529v1 13-53846-tjt Doc 4848 Filed 05/15/14 Entered 05/15/14 15:02:22 Page 17 of 27

Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein have the meanings given to them in the Objection.

requirements of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules and the Local Rules; and the Court having determined that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Objection and at the Hearing establish just cause for the relief granted herein;

#### IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The Objection is SUSTAINED.
- 2. Pursuant to section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, the Claim is disallowed and expunged in its entirety.
- 3. The City, the City's claims and noticing agent and the Clerk of this Court are authorized to take any and all actions that are necessary or appropriate to give effect to this Order.

# **EXHIBIT 2**

B10 (Official Form 10) (04/13) (Modified) UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT **EASTERN DISTRICT of MICHIGAN** Name of Debtor: City of Detroit, Michigan Case Number: 13-53846 FEB 2 0 2014 NOTE: Do not use this form to make a claim for an administrative expense that arises after the bankruptcy filing. Name of Creditor (the person or other entity to whom the debtor owes money or property): **Brittany McFarlin** US Bankruptcy Court RECEIVED Name and address where notices should be sent: Check the box asin challistricates a Mark E. Boegehold (P38699) previously filed claim. 1000 Town Center, Suite 500 FEB 2 4 2014 Court Claim Number:\_ Southfield, MI 48075 (If known) Telephone number: 248-354-2222 email: mboegehold@thurswell.com Filed on: Name and address where payment should be sent (if different from above): ☐ Check this box if you are aware that anyone else has filed a proof of claim Same as above relating to this claim. Attach copy of statement giving particulars. Telephone number: email: 100,000.00, see attached addendum. 1. Amount of Claim as of Date Case Filed: If all or part of the claim is secured, complete item 4. Judgment interest, if any. If all or part of the claim is entitled to priority, complete item 5. Check this box if the claim includes interest or other charges in addition to the principal amount of the claim. Attach a statement that itemizes interest or charges. Personal Injury; at Capstone Academy, door slammed on her head. 2. Basis for Claim: (See instruction #2) 3. Last four digits of any number by which creditor identifies debtor: 3a. Debtor may have scheduled account as: (See instruction #3a) 4. Secured Claim (See instruction #4) Amount of arrearage and other charges, as of the time case was filed, Check the appropriate box if the claim is secured by a lien on property or a right of included in secured claim, if any: setoff, attach required redacted documents, and provide the requested information. Nature of property or right of setoff: □Real Estate □ Motor Vehicle □ Other Basis for perfection: Describe: Value of Property: \$\_ Amount of Secured Claim: Annual Interest Rate (when case was filed) % DFixed or DVariable Amount Unsecured: N/A 5. Amount of Claim Entitled to Priority as an Administrative Expense under 11 U.S.C. §§ 503(b)(9) and 507(a)(2). N/A 5b. Amount of Claim Otherwise Entitled to Priority. Specify Applicable Section of 11 U.S.C. § 6. Credits. The amount of all payments on this claim has been credited for the purpose of making this proof of claim. (See instruction #6) 7. Documents: Attached are redacted copies of any documents that support the claim, such as promissory notes, purchase orders, invoices, itemized statements of running accounts, contracts, judgments, mortgages, security agreements, or, in the case of a claim based on an open-end or revolving consumer credit agreement, a statement providing the information required by FRBP 3001(c)(3)(A). If the claim is secured, box 4 has been completed, and redacted copies of documents providing evidence of perfection of a security interest are attached. (See instruction #7, and the definition of "redacted".) DO NOT SEND ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS. ATTACHED DOCUMENTS MAY BE DESTROYED AFTER SCANNING. If the documents are not available, please explain: 8. Signature: (See instruction #8) Check the appropriate box. ☐ I am the creditor. 🔏 I am the creditor's authorized agent. I am the trustee, or the debtor, ☐ I am a guarantor, surety, indorser, or other codebtor. or their authorized agent. (See Bankruptcy Rule 3005.) (See Bankruptcy Rule 3004.) I declare under penalty of perjury that the information provided in this claim is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and reasonable belief. Print Name: Mark E. Boegehold Company:

Address and telephone number (if different from notice address above): (Signature) 1000 Town Center, Suite 500 Southfield, MI 48075

mboegehold@thurswell.com

13-53@46oftjtresen@@pa4848laimFledf056156140 or illinitemedf056

Telephone number: 248-354-222email:

This claim is an unsecured, unliquidated personal injury tort claim. The stated claim amount is an estimate of the amount or value of claimants claim based upon information at the time the claim is being filed, because of volume of, or the personal nature of the supporting medical records or documentations, all the documentation supporting this claim may not be attached, if available, additional medical records and documentary support will be submitted upon request and upon execution of appropriate releases and/or waivers if required. The case value may be substantially higher or substantially lower depending on facts to be discovered.

TECH ID: steetc

# Michigan Department of Community Health Medicaid Report of Medical Services Paid

PRINT DATE:

03/26/2012

RECIPIENT ID

0097179806

RECIPIENT

**BRITTANY MCFARLIN** 

BIRTH DATE

ACCIDENT DATE/NO

06/27/1996

03/19/2010

/ 1

PROVIDER NAME UNIVERSITY PEDIATRICIANS	en grande en de	NPI 1811920549	CLAIM TYPE J	PROVIDER TYPE FEL	ERAL ID	
DIAGNOSIS/DRUG STRENGTH PROCEDURE/DRUG NAME	DIAG CODE PROC CODE / TYPE		BEGIN DATE SERVICE	OF CLAIM REF NUMI QUANTITY		
OPEN WOUND OF FOREHEAD	87342		03/19/2010	31101901008270200	001	
EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT VISIT FOR THE EVALUATION AND	99282	/ HC	-	1		
		Pay Date Other Ins. Amount		Amount Billed Amount Paid	\$ 120.00 \$ 41.94	
OPEN WOUND OF FOREHEAD	87342		03/19/2010	3110190100827020	0 - 002	
SIMPLE REPAIR OF SUPERFICIAL WOUNDS OF FACE, EARS	12011	/ HC		1		
		Pay Date Other Ins. Amount		Amount Billed Amount Paid	\$ 320.00 <b>\$ 53.47</b>	

Provider Total Amount Paid

\$ 95.41

PROVIDER NAME CHILDRENS HOSP		NPI 1922068378	CLAIM TYPE PR	OVIDER TYPE FEDERAL ID
DIAGNOSIS/DRUG STRENGTH PROCEDURE/DRUG NAME	DIAG CODE PROC CODE / TYPE		BEGIN DATE OF SERVICE	CLAIM REF NUMBER - LINE QUANTITY
OPEN WOUND OF FOREHEAD DEPRESSIVE DISORDER NEC	87342 311		03/19/2010	31101201002843100 - 001
- Commented to the second page 100 and	0250	,		1
		Pay Date Other Ins. Amount		unt Billed \$13.35 ount Paid \$0.00
OPEN WOUND OF FOREHEAD DEPRESSIVE DISORDER NEC	87342 311		03/19/2010	31101201002843100 - 002
SIMPLE REPAIR OF SUPERFICIAL WOUNDS OF FACE, EARS	12011	/ HC		1
		Pay Date Other Ins. Amount	A 44 A 4	ount Billed \$ 448.00 ount Paid \$ 52:58

# Michigan Department of Community Health Medicaid Report of Medical Services Paid

**PRINT DATE: 09/26/2012** 

RECIPIENT ID

0097179806

RECIPIENT NAME BRITTANY MCFARLIN

**BIRTH DATE** 06/27/1996

O3/19/2010 / 1

1306992201

DR EVERETT BRYANT

**Professional** 

TCN:

311015510236832000

001

05/22/2010 7804

**DIZZINESS AND GIDDINESS** 

Billed: Paid: \$ 145.00

7295

PAIN IN LIMB

99349

HC

HOME VISIT FOR THE EVALUATION AND MANAGEMENT OF AN

**Provider Total Amount Paid** 

\$ 58.24

1811920549

**UNIVERSITY PEDIATRICIANS** 

Professional

TCN:

311020910007394000

001

06/26/2010 6820

CELLULITIS OF FACE

Billed: Paid:

\$ 359.00 \$ 41.94

6929

DERMATITIS NOS

6929

LYMPHADENITIS NOS

99284

HC

EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT VISIT FOR THE EVALUATION AND

**Provider Total Amount Paid** 

\$ 41.94

1922068378

**CHILDRENS HOSP** 

**Outpatient OPPS** 

TCN:

311018710139183000

001

06/26/2010

2893

LYMPHADENITIS NOS

Billed:

\$ 620.00

6820

CELLULITIS OF FACE

Paid:

\$ 80.74

6929

**DERMATITIS NOS** 

99283

HC

EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT VISIT FOR THE EVALUATION AND

**Provider Total Amount Paid** 

\$ 80.74

Michigan Department of Community Health

**PRINT DATE: 09/26/2012** 

Medicaid Report of Medical Services Paid

RECIPIENT ID

0097179806

RECIPIENT NAME BRITTANY MCFARLIN

BIRTH DATE

**ACCIDENT DATE/NO** 

06/27/1996 03/19/2010 / 1

Total Medicaid Amount this Report
Previous Medicaid Amount Reported
Total Medicaid Amount Reported
Amount Recovered to Date
Net Amount Owed

\$ 180.92
\$ 228.73
\$ 409.65



# DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH

LANSING

JAMES K. HAVEMAN DIRECTOR

RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR

March 13, 2013

Mark E. Boegehold 1000 Town Ctr Ste 500 Southfield, MI 48075

MAR 2 0 RECT

D/I: March 19, 2010 Re: BRITTANY MCFARLIN Medicaid ID#: 0097179806 Amount: \$452.83

Dear Mr. Boegehold:

The Michigan Department of Community Health periodically reviews cases to determine if additional related claims have been paid. Review of this case revealed additional itemized payments. The updated amount of Medicaid's subrogation interest is \$452.83. If the beneficiary has been enrolled in a Medicaid Managed Care Plan, the plan is identified below and should be contacted directly regarding its interest. Please note that Medicaid and Medicaid Managed Care Plans are separate entities; their subrogation interests must be resolved separately.

Please contact our office prior to the conclusion of your case to discuss Medicaid reimbursement.

Thank you for your cooperation. If you have any questions, please contact our office.

Sincerely.

Kathreen Francis

Third Party Liability Division Telephone: (517) 335-8958

> CAPITOL COMMONS CENTER • PO BOX 30479 • LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909 www.michigan.gov/tpl • P 517-335-8760 • F 517-346-9876

MSA-010COL



# DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH

RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR

LANSING

JAMES K. HAVEMAN

June 20, 2013

Mark E. Boegehold 1000 Town Ctr Ste 500 Southfield, MI 48075



D/I: March 19, 2010 Re: BRITTANY MCFARLIN Medicaid ID#: 0097179806 Amount: \$452.83

Dear Mr. Boegehold:

The Michigan Department of Community Health periodically reviews cases to determine if additional related claims have been paid. Review of this case revealed no additional itemized payments. The amount of Medicaid's subrogation interest stands at \$452.83. If the beneficiary has been enrolled in a Medicaid Managed Care Plan, the plan is identified below and should be contacted directly regarding its interest. Please note that Medicaid and Medicaid Managed Care Plans are separate entities; their subrogation interests must be resolved separately.

Please contact our office prior to the conclusion of your case to discuss Medicaid reimbursement.

Thank you for your cooperation. If you have any questions, please contact our office.

Sincerely,

Kathreen Francis

Third Party Liability Division Telephone: (517) 335-8958

**Health Plans:** 

Molina Healthcare of MI 100 W. Big Beaver Rd. Suite 600 Troy, MI 48084

> CAPITOL COMMONS CENTER • PO BOX 30479 • LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909 www.michigan.gov/tpl • P 517-335-8760 • F 517-346-9876

MSA-011COL

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Heather Lennox, hereby certify that the foregoing Objection of the City of Detroit, Pursuant to Sections 105 and 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, Bankruptcy Rule 3007 and Local Rule 3007-1, to Proof of Claim Number 2093 Filed by Brittany McFarlin was filed and served via the Court's electronic case filing and noticing system on this 15th day of May, 2014.

/s/ Hea	ther Lennox	
---------	-------------	--